

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

GRADUAL TAYLOR

)

CASE NO. C-1-02CV446

Plaintiff,

)

JUDGE WEBER

vs.

)

POLICE OFFICER CHERYL HART

)

RULE 26(f) REPORT OF THE PARTIES

Defendant.

)

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a meeting was held on \_\_\_\_\_, and was attended by:

\_\_\_\_\_ Counsel for plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Counsel for plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Counsel for plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_

*The parties have had several phone conversations, but were unable to confer. Counsel for Defendant is submitting this report. Plaintiff is Pro Se.*

2. The parties:

\_\_\_\_\_ have exchanged the discovery disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1)

  X   will exchange such disclosures by May 1, 2006

\_\_\_\_\_ are exempt from disclosure under Rule 26(a)(1)(E)

\_\_\_\_\_ have agreed not to make initial disclosures.

3. The parties

  X   do not unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of, and entry of judgment by, the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636 ( c)

\_\_\_\_\_ unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of, and entry of judgment by, the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636 ( c)

4. Recommended cut-off date for filing any motion to amend the pleadings and/or to add additional parties: June 1, 2006

5. Is this case appropriate for mediation after a limited discovery period? No

Will the parties request the services of a court mediator? \_\_\_\_\_

Has a settlement demand been made? \_\_\_\_\_

Date by which a settlement demand can be made? \_\_\_\_\_

Date by which a response can be made to settlement demand? \_\_\_\_\_

6. Recommended Discovery Plan:

- A. Described the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of discovery that each party needs to: (1) make a settlement evaluation, (2) prepare for case dispositive motions and (3) prepare for trial:

Conduct of plaintiff and defendant

Plaintiff's alleged damages

Knowledge of witnesses

- B. What changes should be made, if any, in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the S.D. Ohio Civ. Rules, including the limitations to 25 interrogatories, 40 request for admissions, and the limitation of ten depositions, each lasting more than one seven-hour day?

None

- C. Additional recommended limitations on discovery:

See plaintiff's motion for protective order

- D. Described the areas for which expert testimony is expected and indicate whether each expert will be specifically retained within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2).

None

- E. Recommended date for identifying primary experts: N/A

- F. Recommended date for producing primary expert reports: N/A

- G. Recommended date for identifying rebuttal experts: N/A

- H. Recommended date for producing rebuttal expert reports: N/A

- I. Recommended discovery cut-off date: December 1, 2006

7. Recommended Dispositive Motion Deadline date: February 1, 2007

8. Recommended date for Joint Pretrial Order: May 1, 2007  
(at least 30 days before trial date)

9. Recommended date for trial term: June 2007

10. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

None

SIGNATURES:

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant or Third Parties:

---

Ohio Bar #

Trial Attorney for

/s/ Richard Ganulin

---

Ohio Bar # 0025642

Trial Attorney for Defendant